New Mexico - Gallup Field Office FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland

Applicant	,	_ Farm No Tract No	CMS Fi	eld No's.	
		Preliminary Final D			
			.		
		ter Quantity - 140 Potential	Points		
		luate. Benchmark & After points	Potential	Benchmark	After Deinte
% 	% of Area in Contract	% of Area in Contract	Points	Points	After Points
Efficiency	before Treatment	After Treatment			
			=		
			=		
			1		
			=		
			=		
			1		
% efficiency	x % of area (before & after	er) x 2.0 = points earned			
Assumption	: irr. eff. will not increase	by more than 70%	140		
		1. Water Quantity			
Eff. X% Area	a Before or After Treatm	nent X Potential Pts. = BM or After	Points		
				-	
	2. W	ater Quality - 120 Potential I	Points		
	A.	Surface Water Pollutants - 40 Po	ints		
There is a pr	obability that irrigation wa	ter containing salt, pesticides, and/c	or nutrients (o	r other associ	ated
chemicals) is	leaching into the ground	water. Treatment is needed to prev	ent these pol	lutants from	
contaminatin	g ground water, through I	eaching.			
	Distance of Surface R	un-Off to Live Water	Potential	Benchmark	After Points
	Diotarios di Cariaco It		Points	Points	
<100 Feet			40	0	
101 - 500 Ft.			30	0	
501 - 1,320 Ft.			20	0	
1,321 - 2,640 Ft.			10	0	
>2,640 Feet No Runoff			5 0	0	
NO RUNON		A. Surface Water		 	
	R	Ground Water Pollutants - 80 Poi			
There is a nr	D.	Olouliu Water i Oliutants - 00 i Ol	IIIG		
•	obability that irrigation wa		or other nutrie	nts (or other a	hateinnee
oriennioais) is		ter containing salt, pesticides, and/c		•	associated
contaminatin	leaching into the ground	tter containing salt, pesticides, and/o water. Treatment is needed to prev	ent these pol	lutants from	
	leaching into the ground	ter containing salt, pesticides, and/c	ent these pol	lutants from	
contaminatin water table.	s leaching into the ground g ground water, through I	tter containing salt, pesticides, and/o water. Treatment is needed to preveaching and direct return flow into w	vent these pol	lutants from vill be awarde	ed based on
	leaching into the ground	tter containing salt, pesticides, and/o water. Treatment is needed to preveaching and direct return flow into w	ent these pol	lutants from	ed based on
water table.	s leaching into the ground g ground water, through I	tter containing salt, pesticides, and/o water. Treatment is needed to preveaching and direct return flow into water Table	vent these policells. Points very Potential	lutants from will be awarde Benchmark	ed based on
water table.	g leaching into the ground g ground water, through I Depth to Wa	tter containing salt, pesticides, and/o water. Treatment is needed to preveaching and direct return flow into water Table	vent these polyells. Points venture Potential Points	lutants from will be awarde Benchmark	ed based on
water table. 1 - 10 Ft or eli	g leaching into the ground g ground water, through I Depth to Wa	tter containing salt, pesticides, and/o water. Treatment is needed to preveaching and direct return flow into water Table	vent these polyells. Points vells. Potential Points 80	lutants from will be awarde Benchmark	ed based on
water table. 1 - 10 Ft or eli 10 - 50 Ft.	g leaching into the ground g ground water, through I Depth to Wa	tter containing salt, pesticides, and/o water. Treatment is needed to preveaching and direct return flow into water Table	Potential Points 80 60	lutants from will be awarde Benchmark	ed based on

2. Water Quality

Total

New Mexico - Gallup Field Office FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland

Applicant		Farm No	Tract No	CMS Field No's	
Tribal Land	Non-Tribal Land_	Preliminary	/ Final	Date	

3. Selected Conservation Practice(s) - 265 Potential Points

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation schedule of operations must be cost-shared. Higher priority (value) is given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Practices in this section are grouped, one or all practices may be used.	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points			
Water Quantity (Water Management for Irrigated Land)						
IRRIGATION WATER CONVEYANCE PRACTICES: 428A, 430CC, 430DD, 430EE, 430II, 430JJ, 441, 442, 587 (3 PTS. EA.)	27					
WATER CONSERVATION PRACTICES: 442, 464, 466 (3 PTS. EA.)	9					
RIPARIAN AREA ENHANCEMENT PRACTICES: 391,580, 657 (2 PTS. EA.)	6					
EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES: 329A, 329B, 329C, 380, 382, 512, 650 (2 PTS. EA.)	14					
Air Quality (Airborne Smoke and Dust)						
IRRIGATION WATER CONVEYANCE PRACTICES: 428A, 430CC, 430DD, 430EE, 430II, 430JJ, 441, 442, 587 (3 PTS. EA.)	27					
WATER CONSERVATION PRACTICES: 442, 464, 466 (3 PTS. EA.)	9					
EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES: 329A, 329B, 329C, 380, 382, 512, 650 (2 PTS. EA.)	14					
Animals Habitat (Food and Drinking Water)						
EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES: 329A, 329B, 329C, 380, 512, 650 (2 PTS. EA.)	14					
WILDLIFE PRACTICES: 516, 614, 648 (1 PT. EA.)	3					
RIPARIAN AREA ENHANCEMENT PRACTICES: 391,580, 657 (2 PTS. EA.)	6					
Soil Erosion (Sheet and Rill and/or Wind Erosion)						
IRRIGATION WATER CONVEYANCE PRACTICES: 428A, 430CC, 430DD, 430EE, 430II, 430JJ, 441, 442, 587 (3 PTS. EA.)	27					
WATER CONSERVATION PRACTICES: 442, 464, 466 (3 PTS. EA.)	9					
EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES: 329A, 329B, 329C, 380, 382, 512, 650 (2 PTS. EA.)	14					
Water Quality (Surface Water Contaminants, Suspended Sediment)						
IRRIGATION WATER CONVEYANCE PRACTICES: 428A, 430CC, 430DD, 430EE, 430II, 430JJ, 441, 442, 587 (3 PTS. EA.)	27					
WILDLIFE PRACTICES: 516, 614, 648 (1 PT. ÉA.)	3					
Plants Management (Establishment, Growth, and Harvest)						
IRRIGATION WATER CONVEYANCE PRACTICES: 428A, 430CC, 430DD, 430EE, 430II, 430JJ, 441, 442, 587 (3 PTS. EA.)	27					
WATER CONSERVATION PRACTICES: 442, 464, 466 (3 PTS. EA.)	9					
RIPARIAN AREA ENHANCEMENT PRACTICES: 391,580, 657 (2 PTS. EA.)	6					
EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES: 329A, 329B, 329C, 380, 382, 512, 650 (2 PTS. EA.)	14					
3. Selected Conservation Practices	Total					
Page 2 of 3						

New Mexico - Gallup Field Office FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland

Applicant		Farm No	Tract No	CMS Field No	's
Tribal Land	Non-Tribal Land_	Preliminar	y Rating	Final Rating	Date

4. Other Considerations - 75 Potential Points

Below are some suggested, not required, criteria. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them here.	Potential Points	Benchmark Points	After Points
A. Threatened and Endangered species are in the area and the contract will			
enhance habitat for the species.	15	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream			
segment.	20	0	
C. Treatment of this land enhances the benefits of an active/planned section			
319 project.	10	0	
D. The land is within a NMED designated Catergory I watershed.	10	0	
E. Riparian areas will be enhanced in the contracted area.	10	0	
F. Eradicate/prevent infestation of Class A and/or B noxious weeds as			
designated by NMDA.	10		
4. Other Considerations	Total	0	

Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Sec 1	Sec 2	Sec 3	Sec 4	Worksheet Total
Designated Conservationist	Date			

Page 3 of 3